



Jens Thomas University Observatory Munich, MPE Garching (N. Nowak, R. Saglia, P. Erwin, R. Bender)

- introduction
- SINFONI program to measure BH masses in local galaxies from stellar kinematics
- dynamical models of low-mass galaxies NGC 3368, NGC 3489 (multi-component bulges) NGC 5102 (sigma ~ 66 km/s)
- summary

# 

#### **BH scaling relations**

- direct, dynamical BH mass determinations for ~50 galaxies
- BH masses scale with bulge velocity dispersion bulge luminosity bulge stellar mass (e.g. Marconi & Hunt 2003, Haering & Rix 2004, Ferrarese & Merritt 2000, Gebhardt+ 2000 ...)
- evolution of BHs and hosts: slope, scatter, fundamental relation?
- lack of measurements at high masses  $\sigma$  > 300 km/s low masses  $\sigma$  < 120 km/s



(from Gueltekin et al. 2009)



#### different bulge types

bulges come in two flavours (e.g. Kormendy & Kennicutt 2004)

#### • classical bulges:

MPI

"mini-ellipticals" relatively round dispersion dominated kinematics steep surface-brightness profiles

#### • pseudo-bulges:

flattened & oriented more like disks rotation-dominated kinematics substructure (spiral arms, dust, ...)

- probably different formation mechanisms (merging vs. secular evolution)
- differences in BH growth & BH scaling relations? (e.g. Kormendy 2001, Hu 2008, Greene+ 2008, Gadotti & Kauffmann 2009)







#### **SINFONI program**



- a sample of 33 galaxies observed with SINFONI at the VLT in particular at the high & low mass end almost doubles existing dyn. BH masses
- advantages of SINFONI

AO resolution ~ 0.15" integral-field kinematics (IFU)

-> better data-coverage increases

reliability of dynamical models

infrared kinematics important for low-mass galaxies (dust) large collective power (core ellipticals)



### **SINFONI program**



- a sample of 33 galaxies observed with SINFONI at the VLT in particular at the high & low mass end almost doubles existing dyn. BH masses
- advantages of SINFONI

AO resolution ~ 0.15" integral-field kinematics (IFU)

-> better data-coverage increases

reliability of dynamical models

infrared kinematics important for low-mass galaxies (dust) large collective power (core ellipticals)

 eight galaxies with σ <120 km/s NGC3368, NGC3489, NGC5102 NGC3412, NGC3627, NGC4371, NGC4486a, NGC4569

#### NGC 3368: photometry



- double-barred spiral galaxy
- r<50":

MPE

excess-light with respect to inward extrapolation of outer exponential disk SB-profile -> photometric bulge



LMU

USM



#### NGC 3368: bulge light profile





- 1" < r < 50":</li>
   bulge can be well described by an exponential SB
- r < 1": excess light with respect to exponential SB







photometric data: K-band (Knapen et al. 2003) NICMOS2 F160W SINFONI image SDSS r-band

• 1" < r < 50":

bulge flattened & oriented like disk, rotation dominated

• r < 1":

**VIPE** 

round & dispersion dominated

 composite bulge *classical bulge* r<1" *pseudo-bulge* 1" < r < 50"
 </li>



(kin. from Heraudeau+ 99)

### NGC 3489: bulge light profile





 similar structure as NGC 3368 (but single-barred) outer photometric bulge (r>2"): exponential surface-brightness inner photometric bulge (r<2"): light excess</li>



 similar composite bulge structure as in NGC 3368
 *classical bulge* r < 2"
 round & dispersion dominated
 *pseudo-bulge* 2" < r < 10"
 flattened & oriented like outer disk, rotation dominated

#### **SINFONI** kinematics



#### do the BH masses scale with classical or total bulge luminosity?



MPE

SINFONI 100mas resolution FWHM = 0.165" Strehl = 14% sigma = 98.5 km/s



SINFONI 25 mas resolution FWHM ~ 0.08" Strehl = 43% sigma = 91 km/s

### Schwarzschild modelling



- deprojection
   3d light distribution
- trial mass model  $\rho = \Upsilon_* \nu_* + M_{\rm BH} \,\delta(r)$
- orbit library
- orbit superposition determine light w<sub>i</sub>
   Image: SW<sub>i</sub> P<sub>orbit i</sub>
   Comparison on each orbit from light distribution and kinematics

WI+1

 $W_2$ 

- **best-fit mass parameters** from chisquare analysis
- axisymmetric models

Gebhardt, Richstone & Tremaine (Thomas et al. 2004,2005,2007)



#### dynamical models



- Schwarzschild models can account for any orbital structure in a given symmetry
- codes have been tested against analytic models (Siopis et al. 2009)
- symmetry: axisymmetric, triaxial? (van den Bosch & de Zeeuw 2010)

#### advantage of IFU data



ИP

modelling individual quadrants separately:

LMU

USM

model 1 -> M<sub>BH,1</sub> model 2 -> M<sub>BH,2</sub> model 3 -> M<sub>BH,3</sub> model 4 -> M<sub>BH,4</sub>

- if galaxy is axisymmetric: models of individual quadrants should be consistent within the errors
- if models are different: estimate on systematic errors from model to model variation
- even without systematic variations triaxiality remains possible



#### dynamical models



- Schwarzschild models can account for any orbital structure in a given symmetry
- models have been tested against analytic models (Siopis et al. 2009)
- symmetry: axisymmetric, triaxial? (van den Bosch & de Zeeuw 2009)
- sphere of influence not well resolved: degeneracy between BH and stellar mass-to-light
- stellar mass-to-light ratio needs to be well constrained
- stellar M/L can be biased too high e.g. by DM (Gebhardt & Thomas 2010) or too low (if stellar M/L changes with r)





#### dynamical modelling



to get unbiased stellar M/L and BH masses:

classical bulge region & rest of galaxy are treated as separate components in dynamical models

fit for Y<sub>class</sub>, Y<sub>rest</sub>, M<sub>BH</sub>

#### NGC 3368: BH fits





example of model results for one quadrant  $\chi^{2} = \sum \left( \frac{\text{LOSVD}_{\text{mod}} - \text{LOSVD}_{\text{dat}}}{\Delta \text{LOSVD}_{\text{dat}}} \right)^{2}$ 

 $(N_{data} = 900)$ 

inclination not further constrained by dynamical models, but does not influence results

four quadrants:  $M_{BH,1} = 7 \times 10^{6} M_{sun}$   $M_{BH,2} = 8 \times 10^{6} M_{sun}$   $M_{BH,3} = 9 \times 10^{6} M_{sun}$   $M_{BH,4} = 6 \times 10^{6} M_{sun}$  $<M_{BH}> = (7.5 +/- 1.5) \times 10^{6} M_{sun}$ 

- rms-scatter consistent with M<sub>BH</sub> errors in individual quadrants (1.6x10<sup>6</sup> M<sub>sun</sub>)
- no evidence for systematic differences between quadrants
- (details in Nowak et al. 2010)



#### NGC 3489: BH fits





- no difference between ind. quadrants when using SINFONI data alone
- BH not constrained with SINFONI alone
  - o other kinematics for the outer parts: SAURON (r<10"; res. 1.1") OASIS (r<4"; res. 0.7")
- outer kinematics constrain the bulge M/L and, thus, the BH mass

 $M_{BH} = (6+/-0.5)x10^{6} M_{sun}$ sys. error = 0.64x10<sup>6</sup> M<sub>sun</sub>

## NGC 3368 & NGC 3489: BH scalings

LIMIU.

USM



• NGC 3368 & NGC 3489 consistent with M<sub>BH</sub> - sigma relation

 NGC 3368 & NGC 3489 fall below the M<sub>BH</sub> - M<sub>K</sub> relation when using the total (photometric) bulge, but not when only the inner (classical) bulge regions are considered

#### NGC 5102





MPE

- Iow-luminosity S0 with a large (classical) bulge
  - velocity dispersion ~ 66 km/s (smallest in our sample)
- observed in two resolutions FWHM ~ 0.08"



- three-component structure: bulge & central cluster & light excess in the very centre
- preliminary upper limit M<sub>BH</sub> < 4 x 10<sup>5</sup> M<sub>sun</sub> small BH mass with respect to both σ and L<sub>bulge</sub>
- $M_{cen} = 2.2 \times 10^6 M_{sun}$   $M_{cl} + M_{cen} = 1.1 \times 10^7 M_{sun}$



#### summary & outlook



- SINFONI BH mass measurements will almost double the number of existing direct dynamical BH detections
- galaxy sampling at low-mass and high-mass end improves measurements of the slope in scaling relations the scatter at the low-mass and high-mass end
- NGC 3368 & NGC 3489: composite (pseudo + classical) bulges: BH masses consistent with velocity dispersion BH masses low with respect to the whole photometric bulge, but not, if only the classical bulge region is considered
- NGC 5102: lowest velocity dispersion galaxy (66 km/s) upper limit of BH mass < 4x10<sup>5</sup> M<sub>sun</sub> low compared to dispersion & bulge luminosity