Dynamics of Nuclear Star Clusters

David Merritt* "Central Massive Objects" ESO Garching, 22-25 June 2010

Roberto Capuzzo-Dolcetta Alessandra Mastrobuono Battisti Slawomir Piatek Eugene Vasiliev

Image: NASA/JPL-Caltech/S. Stolovy (Spitzer Science Center /Caltech)

I. Formation via cluster infall

II. Evolution over relaxation time scales

III. Triaxiality and its consequences

I. Formation

Black Holes

Nuclear Star Clusters





Milosavljevic 2008

Why Migration of Star Clusters?

- 1. Infall time scales for globular clusters are roughly correct
- 2. While they often contain young stars, the dominant populations of NSCs are old
- 3. Migration of 10^4 - $10^6 M_{\odot}$ objects to the center is common to both gas- and stellar-dynamical models
- 4. A massive "seed" is probably required for gas infall scenarios to work
- 5. Stars are easier than gas



Miocchi & Capuzzo-Dolcetta (2009)

Also:

Fellhauer & Kroupa (2002)

Bekki et al. (2004)

Sequential Mergers of Clusters

Energy conservation implies

$$E_f = E_i + E_{\rm orb} + E_{\rm cl}$$

where



 $E_{i,f} = \text{initial, final energy of nucleus}$ $E_{cl} = \text{cluster internal energy} = -Gm^2/2r$ $E_{orb} = \text{cluster orbital energy} = -\alpha GmM_i/2R_i, \quad \alpha \approx 1$ Then $M_{i+1} = (i+1)M_1$ $(M_1 = m)$

$$M_{j+1} = (j+1)M_1 \qquad (M_1 = jE_{j+1} = (j+\alpha)E_j + jE_1$$
$$(j+1)^2 R_{j+1}^{-1} = j(j+\alpha)R_j^{-1} + R_1^{-1}$$

Sequential Mergers of Clusters





Piatek et al. (unpublished)

Now Add a Supermassive Black Hole...

Complete disruption occurs when

$$r \approx \left(\frac{M_{\bullet}}{M_{\rm GC}}\right)^{1/3} R_{\rm GC}$$

i.e.

$$\frac{r}{r_{\rm infl}} \approx 2 \left(\frac{M_{\bullet}}{10^7 M_{\odot}}\right)^{-1/6} \left(\frac{M_{\rm GC}}{10^6 M_{\odot}}\right)^{-1/3} \left(\frac{R_{\rm GC}}{3 {\rm pc}}\right)$$

The smallest NSCs should have sizes
~ a few x r_{infl} in galaxies with SMBHs

E. g.
$$M_{\bullet} = 10^6 M_{\odot}$$
, $r_{min} \sim 3 \text{ pc}$?
 $M_{\bullet} = 10^7 M_{\odot}$, $r_{min} \sim 10 \text{ pc}$ \checkmark

Cluster:

$$\begin{split} M_{GC} &= 4 \times 10^6 M_{\odot} \\ &\quad (untruncated) \\ &= 1.1 \times 10^6 M_{\odot} \\ &\quad (truncated) \\ \sigma(0) &= 35 \text{ km s}^{-1} \end{split}$$

Galaxy: $\rho(1 \text{ pc}) =$ $400 \text{ M}_{\text{sun}} \text{ pc}^{-3}$ $\rho \sim r^{-0.5}$

A. Battisti et al.



Thursday, July 1, 2010

Surface Density Profiles



Galaxy :
$$\ln \Sigma = \ln \Sigma_e - b(R/r_e)^{1/n} + 1$$

Cluster : $\Sigma = \Sigma_0 \left(1 + R^2/r_0^2\right)^{-1}$

Battisti et al. (2010)





First Infall



Second Infall



Third Infall

Growth Timescales - dE Galaxies

Lotz et al. (2001): Examined globular cluster systems in 51 Virgo, Fornax dE galaxies.



Growth Timescales - gE Galaxies

Assume that the mass density of the galaxy follows

$$\rho(r) = \rho_a (r/r_a)^{-\gamma}$$

The time for a GC at initial radius r_0 to spiral in to the center is

$$\Delta t = \frac{C(\gamma)}{\ln \Lambda} \frac{r_a^3}{M_{\rm GC}} \left(\frac{\rho_a}{G}\right)^{1/2} \left(\frac{r_0}{r_a}\right)^{(6-\gamma)/2}$$
$$\approx 9 \times 10^{10} \text{yr} \left(\frac{r_a}{1 \text{kpc}}\right)^3 \left(\frac{M_{\rm GC}}{10^5 M_{\odot}}\right)^{-1} \left(\frac{\rho_a}{1 M_{\odot} \text{pc}^{-3}}\right)^{1/2} \left(\frac{r_0}{r_a}\right)^{(6-\gamma)/2}$$

The time for GCs initially within R_e to spiral to the center is

$$\Delta t_{1/2} \approx 3 \times 10^{11} \mathrm{yr} \left(\frac{R_e}{1 \mathrm{kpc}}\right)^{1.8} \left(\frac{M_{\mathrm{GC}}}{10^5 M_{\odot}}\right)^{-1}$$



Cote et al. (2007)

II. Relaxation



Half-mass relaxation times*

• galaxy

☆ NSC

*assuming no SMBHs



Net effect of two-body relaxation depends on whether the galaxy is "hotter" or "colder" than the NSC.

If the galaxy is hotter, it transfers heat to the NSC on a timescale:

$$\tau_{\text{heat}} \approx \left(\frac{\rho_{\text{nuc}}}{\rho_{\text{gal}}}\right)^{1/2} \left(\frac{V_{\text{nuc}}}{V_{\text{gal}}}\right)^{1/2} (t_{\text{nuc}} t_{\text{gal}})^{1/2}$$
$$\lesssim (t_{\text{nuc}} t_{\text{gal}})^{1/2}$$

roughly the geometric mean of the galaxy and NSC relaxation times.

Dokuchaev & Ozernoi (1985) Kandrup (1990) Quinlan (1996)



This heat transfer will **reverse core collapse** if

 $\tau_{\text{heat}} \lesssim \tau_{\text{cc}} \equiv \xi^{-1} t_{\text{nuc}} \qquad 10 \lesssim \xi^{-1} \lesssim 300$ i.e. $\frac{M_{\text{nuc}}}{M_{\text{gal}}} \lesssim 10^4 \left(\frac{\xi^{-1}}{100}\right)^2 \left(\frac{r_{\text{nuc}}}{r_{\text{gal}}}\right)^5$

More generally, one finds a critical size:

$$\frac{M_{\rm nuc}}{M_{\rm gal}} = A \left(\frac{r_{\rm nuc}}{r_{\rm gal}}\right)^B$$

above which a NSC expands rather than contracts; A and B depend (weakly) on the galaxy density profile

Core Collapse vs. Core Expansion



Merritt (2009)

Evidence of NSC Evaporation?



Adding a Black Hole



A large BH reverses the temperature gradient, slowing the transfer of heat from galaxy to NSC

A small BH inhibits core collapse, causing the NSC to expand more quickly

Merritt (2009)



van den Bergh (1986):

Nucleation fraction vs. galaxy magnitude

Perhaps NSCs in fainter spheroids were destroyed by heating from the galaxy.

III. Triaxiality

Poon & Merritt (2001)





(g-i) pyramids

(a) chaotic

Orbits in Triaxial BH Nuclei

(b-c) tubes

Self-Consistent Triaxial NSCs

$$\gamma = 1, T = 0.75$$

$$\gamma = 2, T = 0.75$$



$$T \equiv \frac{a^2 - b^2}{a^2 - c^2}$$

Poon & Merritt (2004)

Pyramid Orbits



- ~ Keplerian ellipses
- Librate about short axis
- Integrable (regular)*
- $e \Rightarrow 1$ at the corners!



Merritt & Vasiliev (2010)

Pyramid Orbits

The time for pyramid orbits to "drain" is:

$$t_{\rm pyr} \approx 3 \times 10^8 {\rm yr} \left(\frac{T}{10^{-2}}\right) \left(\frac{M_{\bullet}}{10^6 M_{\odot}}\right)^{2/3}$$

where T is the dimensionless coefficient of triaxiality.

The BH feeding rate can be much greater than that due to two-body relaxation.



Merritt & Vasiliev (2010)

Summary

 Accretion of globular clusters appears to be a viable model for NSC formation, at least in bulge-dominated systems

• Disappearance of NSCs in spheroids with M_B > -16 may be due to relaxation effects (heating from the galaxy)

• Stellar tidal disruption rates might be very high in triaxial NSCs (if SMBHs are present)