

The dynamics of solids in selfgravitating protostellar discs

Giuseppe Lodato - Università degli Studi di Milano Peter Cossins - University of Leicester, UK

5 November 2009 - From circumstellar disks to planetary systems

Friday, December 4, 2009

Gravitational instabilities in protostellar discs

- Conditions for instability
- Dynamics of self-gravitating discs:
 - Conditions for fragmentation/self-regulation
- Planetesimal formation and evolution in spiral arms
- Self-regulated disc models and their application to planetesimal formation

Linear stability criterion

Well known axisymmetric instability criterion:

$$Q = \frac{c_{\rm s}\Omega}{\pi G\Sigma} < \bar{Q} \approx 1$$

- * Equivalent form of the instability criterion $\frac{M_{\rm disc}(R)}{M_{\star}} \gtrsim \frac{H}{R}$
- Need the disc to be cold and/or massive
- * What are the masses and aspect ratio in actual protostellar discs?

 Midplane temperature for irradiated discs (Chiang & Goldreich 1997, Chiang & Youdin 2009) gives:

$$\frac{H}{R} \simeq 0.02 \left(\frac{R}{\mathrm{AU}}\right)^{2/7}$$

- * Therefore *H*/*R* varies from **0.02** at 1AU to **0.06** at 100 AU
- * Need disc masses of order 5% of the stellar mass to be unstable
- Protostellar disc masses difficult to measure (see Hartmann et al 2006)

- Disc masses in Taurus and Ophiucus by Andrews and Williams (2005, 2007)
- Clear trend to have smaller masses at later stages of evolution
- A substantial fraction of Class I (and even some Class II) objects expected to be unstable
- Disc masses might be underestimated significantly (Hartmann et al 2006)
- Uncertainties in dust opacities



- Disc masses in Taurus and Ophiucus by Andrews and Williams (2005, 2007)
- Clear trend to have smaller masses at later stages of evolution
- A substantial fraction of Class I (and even some Class II) objects expected to be unstable
- Disc masses might be underestimated significantly (Hartmann et al 2006)
- Uncertainties in dust opacities



- Disc masses in Taurus and Ophiucus by Andrews and Williams (2005, 2007)
- Clear trend to have smaller masses at later stages of evolution
- A substantial fraction of Class I (and even some Class II) objects expected to be unstable
- Disc masses might be underestimated significantly (Hartmann et al 2006)
- Uncertainties in dust opacities



- Disc masses in Taurus and Ophiucus by Andrews and Williams (2005, 2007)
- Clear trend to have smaller masses at later stages of evolution
- A substantial fraction of Class I (and even some Class II) objects expected to be unstable
- Disc masses might be underestimated significantly (Hartmann et al 2006)
- Uncertainties in dust opacities



Non linear evolution of GI

* Investigated in several papers (Gammie 2001, Lodato & Rice 2004, 2005, Rice,



Friday, December 4, 2009

Thermal saturation of GI

Cossins, Lodato & Clarke 2009a

- Self-regulation is established through thermal saturation of the spiral waves.
- IMPORTANT: Amplitude of density perturbation must be related to cooling rate
- We find that:

$$\frac{\Delta \Sigma}{\Sigma} \approx \frac{1}{\sqrt{\Omega t_{\rm cool}}}$$

* Naturally predicts a radially varying value of α



Evolution of solids in selfgravitating discs (Rice, Lodato et al 2004, 2006)

- Effects of gas drag on solid particles is to induce fast migration towards pressure maxima.
- In a laminar disc this produces a fast inward migration of meter-sized particles (Weidenschilling 1977)



Evolution of solids in selfgravitating discs (Rice, Lodato et al 2004, 2006)

- Pressure maxima in spiral structure efficient trap for meter sized objects (see also Haghighipour & Boss 2003, Durisen et al 2005).
- Run SPH simulations of a two component system (gas + solids)



Solid agglomeration in pressure maxima (Rice, Lodato et al 2004, 2006)

- Density of meter sized objects enhanced by up to two orders of magnitude
- Density becomes high enough to become comparable to Roche density
- Gravitational collapse of solids is possible
- Confirmed through additional simulations including the solids self-gravity (Rice et al. 2005)
- Resulting planetesimals mass expected to be high (but difficult to measure from simulations)



Planetesimals in self-gravitating discs

- Particle traps in spiral arms are an effective way of producing large solid bodies in the disc:
 - Resulting planetesimal mass quite large
 - Dynamically stirred population of planetesimals (Britsch, Lodato & Clarke 2008)
 - * Expected to occur in early phases of star formation (<~ 1Myr)
 - * Is this process limited to some specific radial range in the disc?
 - * Note: Rice et al. used an idealized cooling function leading to a rather large amplitude spiral $\Delta\Sigma/\Sigma\approx 0.1$
 - * Need a detailed model of self-gravitating discs with realistic cooling

Local models of self-regulated protostellar discs

Clarke 2009, Cossins, Lodato & Clarke 2009, Rafikov 2009



If transport is local (cf. Cossins et al 2009), then in thermal equilibrium (and absent other sources of heating, e.g. irradiation):

$$\alpha = \frac{4}{9} \frac{1}{\gamma(\gamma - 1)} \frac{1}{\Omega t_{\text{cool}}}$$

- Possible to construct models of self-regulated discs (Q~1), where viscosity is related to cooling time (Clarke 2009, Rafikov 2009)
- Identify various possible regimes for self-gravitating protostellar discs

Where do planetesimals form?

Clarke & Lodato (2009)

- Planetesimal formation through this process occurs at 30AU < R < 50 AU
- Roughly coincident with the location of the Kuiper belt
- Some evidence for a large inner hole in debris disc systems (Currie et al. 2008), based on the apparent increase of debris disc brightness at late ages ~ 10 Myrs (Meyer's talk)
- Rapid production of large bodies in the outer disc may preserve sub-mm emission in the T Tauri phase (Takeuchi, Clarke & Lin 2005)



Spiral structure with ALMA Cossins & Lodato, in prep.

* Will we be able to observe a spiral structure at ~ 50 AU with ALMA?



 $M_{disc}/M^* = 0.1$ $M^* = 2M_{Sun}$ $R_{disc} = 50AU$ D = 140pc10h integration

Friday, December 4, 2009

Conclusions

- * Class I discs are likely to be gravitationally unstable
- Self-regulated evolution of GI leads to sustained angular momentum transport for ~ 1 Myr, bringing the disc into the T Tauri phase
- Spiral arms where the first sites to be identified as optimal particle traps for the formation of planetesimals
- * Such process works only in the outer disc, between 30 AU and 50 AU
- Leads to the rapid formation of solid in an annular region at large distances: possibly consistent with observations of debris discs and the Kuiper belt