The Time History of Planet Formation: Observation Confronts Theory



Thayne Currie (CfA/NASA-Goddard)

Issues to Address in this Talk



1a. Protoplanetary Disk Evolution



Warm primordial (protoplanetary) disk material gone by ~5 Myr for most stars (Hernandez et al. 2007; Currie et al. 2010 Currie et al. 2010 Currie et al. 2010 OF Compare frequency of disks that

are

1)

Signatures of warm gas gone by 2.5 Myr for A stars; 5 Myr for solar/subsolar mass stars

ral al, 2

nal,

1b. Protoplanetary Disk Evolution



Timescale for gas giant planet formation: MS A stars: 2.5 Myr or less; Solar/subsolar-mass stars: 5 Myr or less

Less time for A stars, yet planets around A stars are frequent (e.g. Johnson et al. 2007) \rightarrow formation must be very efficient

1c. Gas Giant Planet Formation



2a. The Lifetimes and Morphologies of Transition



Transition disks = disk with inner regions depleted of dust (and gas?) Based on Taurus data, transition timescale is ~

Another path: disks that are depleted more "homologously"

2b. Relative Fraction of Transitional Disks at 1 and 5





For Taurus, about 5—15% of disks are transitional → very short transition timescale (0.1 Myr)?

 $K_{s} - [24]$

<u>NO</u> : In NGC 2362, 1) two types of transitional disks (many are 'homologously depleted': have lost substantial dust mass), 2) > 50% of disks are transitional

Currie & Lada et al. 2009

Why 'Homologously Depleted' Disks are bona fide transitional disks, not just flat Taurus-like (primordial) disks (part 2)



Mdisk (submm) < 1 M_jupiter: <10x less massive than some transition disks in Taurus (e.g. Najita et al. 2007) disks in Taurus Best-fit Mdisk from Robitaille models ~ 0.1 M_jupiter (Currie et al. 2010b)

2c. Relative Fraction of Transition Disks Increases with Age



Frequency vs. time not consistent with rapid (0.01-0.1 Myr) dispersal timescale: ~1 Myr more **PROTO DIS**netar y disks "die a slow death"

Not consistent with "UV Switch" Model (rapid photoevaporation; Clarke et al. 2001)

Not consistent with gap-opening planets with high disk viscosity? But photoevaporation in general not "ruled out": (e.g. Gorti et al. 2009; Alexander & Armitage 2009, etc.) and *does* occur (e.g. Pascucci and Sterzik 2009)





Evolution of 24 micron debris emission (Main Sequence A Stars)



Peak in debris emission at ~10-15 Myr Due to growth of ~1000 km objects & viscous stirring? (Kenyon and Bromley 2008; 2010)

Summary

Gas giant planet formation: < 2.5 Myr for A stars; < 5 Myr for solar-type stars. Possible if accreted planetesimals are small

Transition Disks: two morphologies, t(transition) ~ 1 Myr: requires slow-acting mechanism

Debris disk studies \rightarrow At least many planetesimals must be small (1m - 1km)

Evolution of debris emission may trace stages in planet formation

Data

<u>Cluster Age (Myr) # of Stars Work</u>

NGC 1333	1	137	Gutermuth et al. 2008
Taurus 2009	~12	100	-300 Luhman et al. 2009, Furlan et al.
IC 348	2.5	307	Currie & Kenyon 2009; Lada et al.
2000			T
NGC 2264	.3	4/1	l eixeira et al. 2010
Sigma Ori	3	336	Hernandez et al. 2007
Upper Scorpi	us 5	220	Carpenter et al. 2006, 2009
NGC 2362	5	3371,	500 Currie & Lada et al. 2009
η Cha	6—8	14	Sicilia-Aguilar et al. 2009
25 Ori	8.5	~225	<u>Hernandez et</u> al. 2006, 2007
h & χ Persei 14 14,160 Currie et al. 2010			
Global Analysis 1-50 20,000 Currie et al. 2010			