

# Detector Developments for Space Astronomy at ESA

## Advanced Technology and Mission Preparation European Space Agency

12 Oct 09





### Introduction

- Space astronomy may exploit different wavebands than ground based & detector requirements for very long and short wavelengths are briefly discussed.
- For traditional astronomy regimes, the challenges of observations in space include the radiation environment, the engineering constraints and development schedules.
- ESA Cosmic Visions 2015-2025 programme, Medium class missions are constrained by a launch date in the 2017-18 period, and a relatively low mass observatory.
- In this context review Plato and Euclid missions, and the detector solutions and developments for these optical /near-IR payloads













### **Planck & Herschel**



Launch 14 May







## **First Light**



Spiral Galaxy M51 ("Whirlpool Galaxy") in the Far Infrared (160µm)



# **IR Image**

 Five-colour IR image of a reservoir of cold gas in *Crux*. (~60° from GC, 2°x2°)

> SPIRE and **PACS** images combined: blue= 70 µm and green= 160 µm emission, red is combination of emission from all three SPIRE bands at 250, 350 and 500  $\mu m$  . esa





#### **Planck first scans – 2 weeks**







#### **Spire Detectors**



Far IR developments revolve around micro bolometers

Challenge of cryogenics in space, leading to closed cycle coolers (ADR)







### **High Energy Bolometers**

Similar technology for X-ray band

Single pixel calorimeter





# **Gamma Ray focusing**

- Coded mask telescopes have only 50% open factor, and detectors must have frontal area equivalent to collecting area
- Large background could be decoupled by focussing advantage but gamma ray focussing is not easy.
- Then pixellated detectors become interesting (CdZnTe, GaAs etc.)







- Tested out bump bonding with Medipix ASIC
- A back-thinned GaAs 64 x 64 pixel array with pixel size is 170 x 170  $\mu$ m<sup>2</sup> and epi-layer thickness of ~150 microns.
- This ASIC comprises a CSA and shaper. Charge amplified and compared with a threshold for event counting
- Photomicrograph of reverse side of MEDIPIX ROIC prior to bonding with SnPb bumps (25 μm dia)
- Developing photon counting spectrally resolved analysing ASIC (use with any compound semiconductor arrays)



### Medipix 1







Medipix I ASIC SnPb bumps



200



**Preparation Division** 



# **Imaging Performance**

- The data were taken with a conventional X-ray tube using a tungsten target and a 2.5 mm Al filter.
- The objects were mounted on a sample holder and the detector on a precision *x* – *y* stage.
- The detector was then scanned past the object in steps of 0.8 of the detector width using the so-called "move and tile" method.
- The resulting composite images have been flatfield corrected and a 3 x 3 median filter applied locally around defective pixels.











### Environment





#### **CCDs and Cosmic Rays**



- Ground based muons with rate varying with altitude
- In orbit order magnitude higher in LEO, several times more again in interplanetary space







### **CCDs and Radiation Damage**

 Early tests of ~krads Co60 showed no major ionising damage problems





### **Cosmic Visions 15 - 25**

- ~50 proposals received in summer 2007. Classified as Medium ( M = 400M€ ) or Large (L = 650M€ )
- Internal review and pre-selection of a number of 'M' missions to go into assessment studies 2008-2009
- Plato (asteroseismology and planet finding), Cross Scale (magnetospheric physics), Marco Polo (asteroid sample return), Spica (mission of opportunity to provide IR telescope for JAXA), Solar Orbiter (co rotating observations ~0.25AU)
- Two proposals addressed the important and timely topic of investigating the dark component that comprises 96% of mass-energy content of Universe
- Advisory structure suggested to merge **DUNE** and **SPACE** to one mission
- Concept Advisory Team defined the goals of merged mission, to be called **Euclid** & became last M mission to start into Assessment





#### Schedule

- Science Program Review Team mandated that Nationally funding of instruments will be favoured and that Technology Readiness Level shall be ≥5 at mission selection
- TRL5 = "Component and/or breadboard validation in relevant environment"
- Typically for launch in 2017 need TRL 5 at 2012, and completed instrument deliveries in 2015
- Difficult for National institutes to fund expensive detector development before down-selection and securing of commitments





## **CV M-Class Astronomy**

- PLATO planet finder (transits and asteroseismology)
- Stable conditions in space for accurate photometry
- Long duration (2yr) high duty cycle (95%) at one field
- Low background
- Euclid Dark Energy/Matter
- Weak lensing signal not subject to systematics of atmosphere stable & smaller PSF
- Wide waveband for Vis/near-IR to cover redshift range
- Simultaneous field spectroscopy to calibrate redshift sample
- Baryon Acoustic Oscillations in correct redshift range to measure standard ruler (spectroscopy with low background)





2 tonnes spacecraft – implies ~35kg per telescope

injection into large Lissajous L2 orbit continuous observation, field rotation every 3 months







### **Plato – instrument consortium**

- 42 telescopes each 28°x 28°
- Different field overlaps with coverage to >800sq deg
- Sampling time 25s
- 20,000 dwarfs/subgiants at 27ppm/hr (m<11)</li>
- 250,000 dwarfs/subgiants at 80ppm/hr (m<14)</li>
- One field observed ~3yrs
- Programme needs 168 CCDs
- Straightforward development 18µm pixels , 1Me<sup>-</sup> full well,
- 4MHz and 15 e<sup>-</sup> rms, with 2 outputs





# **PLATO – observed fields**

- Target star always subject to the same precursor stellar content in its CCD column
- *Change* in CTI is small over relatively long timescales.
- Average signal levels quite high (100 el/background pixel/frame, typical star 10<sup>5</sup> electrons/pixel)
- CCD frame times are short (~25s), such that traps also tend to remain filled.
- Affect on performance of radiation damage determined by the *absolute* loss of signal
- Charge injection schemes will not significantly improve the trap-filling properties.
- -80°C, and a mission dose of 2 x 10<sup>9</sup> p cm<sup>-2</sup> the expected CTI at end of life (6 years in orbit) is ~5 x 10<sup>-5</sup> leading to a S:N degradation.
- The science requirement at the limiting magnitude (m<sub>v</sub>=11.5) of 27 ppm photometry in 1 hour would be degraded to ~29ppm per hour.









#### Euclid

- 3 instruments viewing 0.5 sq deg in parallel
- WL survey cover 20,000 deg<sup>2</sup> and provide 40 galaxies per amin<sup>2</sup> usable for WL with a median redshift z>0.8.
- Shear systematics variance  $\sigma_{sys}^2$  <10<sup>-7</sup>
  - 100M galaxies spectroscopic redshift measurement shall be σ<sub>z</sub> < 0.001





#### **VIS** camera

- Lots of heritage from GAIA
- Baseline 9 x 4 array of CCD 203-82 (4k x 4k)
- Measure shapes of faint galaxies
- PSF ellipticity stable at L2, but can calibrate with stars





## **GAIA heritage for FPA**

• Passive radiator at L2 for 150K operation







## **Euclid – trap speeds**

- At 150 170 K, serial direction charge transfer (~4μs per pixel), most traps will be "slow" but A-centre traps (10% of all species TBC) will have an emission time <<sup>1</sup>/<sub>3</sub> a pixel transfer time.
- For parallel direction transfer time (~5ms) the divacancy emission time will be <20% of a row transfer duration, but E-centres will appear as slow traps.





• Assessment needs to be made for the effect of other (unidentified) defects

![](_page_26_Picture_7.jpeg)

![](_page_27_Picture_0.jpeg)

#### **Euclid – S:N loss ?**

- Major issue likely to be total effect of trap losses on the achieved signal:noise.
- Operational mode requires image frames of duration ~400 500s.
- At the lowest envisaged temperature, the filled E-centre traps will re-emit during image integration and be mostly empty at the start of the transfer phase.
- Typical galaxy signal separated ~ 100 pixels and signal ~1000 electrons. The zodiacal background ~30 electrons/pixel.
- Charge injection (as used on GAIA) ineffective, as the traps filled by injection will also depopulate on this timescale shorter than the image accumulation.
- Periodic injection has to be sufficiently high frequency (~second ) that most traps stay filled. But then stripe will start to affect the image area fill factor
- Initial measurements for a Euclid baseline device @ mission lifetime dose & 160K is estimated ~4 x 10<sup>-5</sup> (X-ray signal stimulation at typical galaxy separation) which represent a ~10% signal loss.
- Again it is emphasized that the critical measurement parameter (PSF ellipticity) must be addressed

![](_page_27_Picture_10.jpeg)

![](_page_28_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_29_Picture_0.jpeg)

#### **Near IR Photometer**

- Same field as VIS channel 3x6 Hawaii 2RG array + Sidecar
- Many more detectors than JWST
- 3 filters , Y J H (~2µm cutoff). PSF undersampled so response uniformity needs to be assessed

![](_page_29_Figure_5.jpeg)

![](_page_30_Picture_0.jpeg)

## **Euclid Near IR Spectrometer**

• Baseline is a slitless spectrometer design, resolving power ~500 for a field of 1 °\_x 0.5°

•1 – 2 µm

•2 x 4 array of Hawaii 2RG (see Bortoletto Friday session)

![](_page_30_Picture_5.jpeg)

![](_page_30_Picture_6.jpeg)

• Back-up study for slit spectrometer based on TI Cinema chip (Digital Micromirror Device)

• Again the TRL is an issue here for 2017 launch

![](_page_30_Picture_9.jpeg)

![](_page_31_Picture_0.jpeg)

#### **NIS Readout**

![](_page_31_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_31_Picture_3.jpeg)

![](_page_32_Picture_0.jpeg)

#### Hawaii Array Radiation Issues

- Cosmic ray hit rate ~1% / exposure \* event size
- Cosmic ray removal (has to be on board) sampling up ramp on 26 detectors is huge processor load
- (*Already estimated data rate incl compression 850Gb/day*)
- Image persistence generation of additional traps in depletion and interface layers
- Dark current need to operate at as high temperature as possible (~110K)
- Radiation tests for JWST at ~40K

![](_page_32_Picture_8.jpeg)

![](_page_33_Picture_0.jpeg)

#### **European IR detector Developments**

- Existing heritage in HgCdTe arrays and bolometers, but not tuned for low noise astronomical levels
- SELEX , AIM, Sofradir, Qinetiq are potential suppliers
- A strategic issue hostage to the US suppliers & especially potential ITAR issues
- ESA starting a development for HgCdTe low noise arrays and associated ASIC readout
- 2 or 3 parallel contracts kick off October 2009

![](_page_33_Picture_7.jpeg)

![](_page_34_Picture_0.jpeg)

### **Developments Required?**

- Mission down selection (2 or 3) in Feb 2010
- Plato and Euclid pre-development issues to ensure instrument breadboards can start during definition phase (up to 2012)
- Needed for array geometry (Plato), charge trap mitigation features, P-channel (Euclid)
- Also radiation hard, fast controller & ADCs necessary
- Instrument breadboards (incl IR arrays) have to assess robust data processing concepts and radiation hardness to the science parameters of interest
- Science detector programmes (10-20Meuro per mission or instrument) not only key for performance and schedule but typically the single most expensive sub-system

![](_page_34_Picture_8.jpeg)